

No. 622-4Lab-76/2795.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Supreme Steel Rolling and Allied Industries, Bahadurgarh.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Application No. 31 of 1973, under section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947

Between

SHRI RAM DIN WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SUPREME STEEL
ROLLING AND ALLIED INDUSTRIES, BAHADURGARH

AWARD

Shri Rajinder Singh Dahiya, authorised representative for the workman (complainant) made a statement on 6th January, 1976 that he could not contact that workman despite best efforts made by him and his complaint as such may be deemed as withdrawn. I, therefore, in view of the statement of Shri Rajinder Singh Dahiya as referred to above dismiss the complaint brought by the workman under section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, praying for his reinstatement as withdrawn.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Dated 12th January, 1976.

No. 683-4Lab-76/2801.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Nav Bharat Surgical Industries, Sonapat.

BEFORE SHRI MOHAN LAL JAIN, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No 62 of 1975

between

SHRI SUBE SINGH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S NAV BHARAT SURGICAL
INDUSTRIES, SONEPAT

AWARD

By order No. ID/241-A-75/39879-83, dated 10th May, 1975 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Nav Bharat Surgical Industries, Sonapat and its workman Shri Sube Singh was referred for adjudication to this Court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of subsection (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Sube Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?"

The parties appeared in this court in response to the notices of reference sent to them and filed their pleadings giving rise to the following issues framed,—*vide* my order, dated 6th November, 1975.

1. Whether the workman voluntarily absent from duty w.e.f., 10th January, 1975 ? If yes, to what effect ?
2. Whether the workman was legally laid off during the period from 31st December, 1974 to 9th January, 1975 ? If yes, to what effect ?
3. Whether the termination of services of Shri Sube Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The parties arrived at a settlement on 7th January, 1976. Shri Diwan Chand a partner of the respondents made a statement that a sum of Rs. 900 in cash shall be paid to the workman in full and final settlement of all his claims relating to this reference and bonus, gratuity etc., and that the latter shall not be entitled to raise any other demand or make any claim after receipt of this amount. Shri Rajinder Singh authorised representative for the workman accepted this statement as correct.

It would appear from the aforesaid statements of the parties concerned that there is now no dispute between them requiring adjudication and the demand raised by the workman on the management in respect of this reference have been satisfied. I accordingly hold and return the award in terms of my findings made above. There shall be no order as to costs.

Dated 9th January, 1976.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 111, dated 16th January, 1976.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

MOHAN LAL JAIN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

P. P. CAPRIHAN,
Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour and Employment Department.

राजस्व विभाग

युद्ध जागीर

दिनांक 29 जनवरी, 1976

क्रमांक 3163-ज(I)-75/2897.—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए)(1) तथा 3(1) के अनुसार सौंपे गये अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्री पहलाद सिंह, पुत्र श्री चत राम, गांव आसलवास, तहसील व जिला भिवानी, को खरीफ, 1965 से रबी, 1970 तक 100 रुपये वार्षिक तथा खरीफ 1970 से 150 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

क्रमांक 32 ज(1)-76/2901.—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए)(1ए) तथा 3 (1ए) के अनुसार सौंपे गये अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर उनके सामने दी गई फसल तथा राशि एवं सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं :-

क्रमांक	जिला	जागीर पाने वाले का नाम	गांव व पता	तहसील	फसल/वर्ष जब से जागीर दी गई	वार्षिक राशि
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	भिवानी	श्री बदलू राम, पुत्र श्री घड़सी राम	शमसपुर	दादरी	रबी, 1973 से	₹० 150
2	..	श्री चन्दगी राम, पुत्र श्री दयाला राम	बराहलू	लोहारू	रबी, 1973 से	150
3	..	श्रीमती मीकौअ, विधवा श्री राम स्वरूप	छपार	दादरी	रबी, 1969 से रबी, 1970 तक खरीफ, 1970 से	100 150